



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,960	12/21/2001	Shell S. Simpson	1000-7659-1	5419
7:	590 02/07/2006	EXAMINER		
	ACKARD COMPANY	PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J		
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2137	
			D. TE. M. H. ED. 02/07/2004	_

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/029,960	SIMPSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Michael Pyzocha	2137		

	Michael Pyzocha	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by	wing replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal to ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The regular of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date state than SIX MONTHS from the	nent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 (eply must be filed within on set forth in the final rejection, we mailing date of the final rejec	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3) e of the following hichever is later. In tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for re r than three months after the ma).	amount of the fee. The approperly originally set in the final Of ailing date of the final rejection,	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing	a briof will not be antered to	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (sow); tter form for appeal by mate corresponding number of fir	ee NOTE below); rially reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		Non Committeet American	(DTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).):		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-13 and 17-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE) ⊠ will be entered and an	explanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	,	Paper No(s). <u>20051213</u> Paper No(s). <u>20051213</u> EMMÄNUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAL	MINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments submitted on 01/23/2006 have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant argues: Epstein does not teach retrieving imaging data on behalf of a user; a personal imaging repository; an imaging extension; and electronically notarizing the imaging data.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Epstein does not teach retrieving imaging data on behalf of a user, in column 5 lines 15-17 Epstein discloses "a user requests the image for viewing on a viewer and the stored image is provided" and further in lines 22-29 the image is sent from the server to the viewer. Therefore the viewer is retrieving imaging data on behalf of a user's request.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Epstein does not teach a personal imaging repository; the server in column 4 lines 18-57, which stores all of the imaging data corresponds to applicant's personal imaging repository.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Epstein does not teach an imaging extension the viewer as described in column 5 lines 15-47, clearly acts as a gateway to access the imaging repository because the user requests imaging data on a viewer and the displays the obtained imaging data.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Epstein does not teach electronically notarizing the imaging data; in column 4 lines 41-57 the server sends the imaging data to the notary, which then adds it signature, which can later be verified by the viewer. This public key signature added by the notary corresponds to Applicant's electronically notarizing the imaging data.

The information disclosure statement filed 12/13/2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because no copy of the foreign patent document has been received. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a)...